

# Convolutional Neural Networks for Medical Image Segmentation and Classification: A Review

Jenifer S<sup>1\*</sup>, Carmel Mary Belinda M J<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>.School of Computing, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, India.

Received: 31 May 2022/ Revised: 08 Mar 2023/ Accepted: 18 Mar 2023

# Abstract

Medical imaging refers to the process of obtaining images of internal organs for therapeutic purposes such as discovering or studying diseases. The primary objective of medical image analysis is to improve the efficacy of clinical research and treatment options. Deep learning has revamped medical image analysis, yielding excellent results in image processing tasks such as registration, segmentation, feature extraction, and classification. The prime motivations for this are the availability of computational resources and the resurgence of deep Convolutional Neural Networks. Deep learning techniques are good at observing hidden patterns in images and supporting clinicians in achieving diagnostic perfection. It has proven to be the most effective method for organ segmentation, cancer detection, disease categorization, and computer-assisted diagnosis. Many deep learning approaches have been published to analyze medical images for various diagnostic purposes. In this paper, we review the works exploiting current state-of-the-art deep learning approaches in medical image processing. We begin the survey by providing a synopsis of research works in medical imaging based on convolutional neural networks. Second, we discuss popular pre-trained models and General Adversarial Networks that aid in improving convolutional networks' performance. Finally, to ease direct evaluation, we compile the performance metrics of deep learning models focusing on covid-19 detection and child bone age prediction.

**Keywords:** Convolutional Neural Networks; Deep learning; Generative Adversarial Network; Medical Image Analysis; Transfer learning.

# **1- Introduction**

Computer-aided diagnosis (CAD) has emerged as one of the most important research fields in medical imaging. In CAD, machine learning algorithms are often utilized to examine the imaging data from the historical samples of patients and construct a model to assess the patient's condition [1]. The developed model assists clinicians in making quick decisions. The most common imaging modalities used in medical applications are X-ray, Computed Tomography (CT), Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Positron Emission Tomography (PET), and Ultrasound. The sole aim of medical image processing would be to improve the interpretability of the information illustrated [2]. The following are the main categories of medical image analysis: enhancement, registration, segmentation, classification, localization, and detection [3].

Earlier, medical images were processed using low-level methods, such as thresholding [4][5], region growing, and edge tracing [6]. Meanwhile, the growth in size and scope of medical imaging data has fueled the evolution of machine learning techniques in medical image analysis. However, since such methods rely on handcrafted features, algorithm design requires manual effort. These constraints of conventional machine learning approaches have risen to the notion of Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs). Factors such as data availability and computational processing capabilities facilitate the deepening of ANNs [7]. The emergence of deep learning techniques like convolutional neural networks has widened the possibilities for the automation of medical image processing. A Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) is a class of neural

A Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) is a class of neural networks meant to handle pixel values. CNN makes image classification more scalable by employing linear mathematical concepts to detect patterns inside an image. While traditional CNN architectures consisted solely of convolutional layers placed on top of one another, modern architectures such as Inception, ResNet, and DenseNet come up with new and innovative approaches to build convolutional layers in a way that makes learning more efficient [8].

CNN can be employed as a feature extractor as well. Feature extraction aims to convert raw pixel data into numerical features that can be processed while keeping the information in the original data set. Traditional feature extractors can be replaced with CNNs, which can extract complex features that express the image in much more detail. The resulting features are then fed into a classifier network or used by typical machine learning algorithms for classification [9][10].

Despite the fact that deep CNN architectures exhibit cuttingedge performance on computer vision problems, there are some concerns about using CNN in the radiology field. In 2014, Goodfellow et al. discovered that introducing a little bit of noise to the original information can readily deceive neural networks into misclassifying items [11]. Furthermore, since the efficiency of deep learning is often based on the volume of input data, CNN requires large scale well-annotated radiology images. Building such databases in the medical industry, on the other hand, is costly and labor-intensive.

In this study, we summarize the current developments in deep learning approaches for medical image analysis. The paper is organized as follows: First, survey papers related to medical image analysis are discussed in section 2. Then, in section 3, CNN models employed in the radiology field and approaches for improving CNN performance are described. Following that, the finding of models aimed at detecting Covid-19 and predicting child bone age are reviewed in section 4. And finally, the conclusion is set out.

# 2- Related Works

This section discusses the survey papers on medical image analysis using deep learning-based algorithms. Hu et al. [12] described four deep learning architectures used for image analysis: CNN, Fully Convolutional Networks (FCN), Deep belief networks, and Autoencoders. They also compiled the recent works on cancer identification and diagnosis.

Liu et al. [13] concentrated on deep learning-based medical image segmentation. They began by explaining the deep learning framework deployed to segment medical images. Then, state-of-the-art segmentation architectures such as FCN, U-Net, and Generative Adversarial Network (GAN) were examined. Shin et al. [14] first studied two medical diagnostic problems, namely interstitial lung disease detection and thoracoabdominal lymph node classification, using three CNN models: AlexNet, CifarNet, and GoogLeNet. Then looked at how transfer learning enhanced the performance of each model.

Kazeminia et al. [15] provided a broad insight into the current studies on GANs for medical applications, discussed the limitations and opportunities of the existing techniques, and elaborated on potential future work. Here, the emphasis was primarily on the segmentation approaches that employed GAN concepts, whereas [13] explained all major segmentation architectures used for medical imaging. On the other hand, Fu et al. [16]divided the approaches reviewed into two main groups: pixel-by-pixel classification and end-to-end segmentation, and discussed the performance, limits, and future potential of each group.

Although previous surveys examined all of the CNN architectures used for medical image analysis, they did not assess the impact of different CNN architectures on a specific application. In this survey, we looked at previous works on medical image segmentation and classification to analyze how CNN performance varied across anatomical regions. Also, we discussed CNN's difficulties as well as solutions for them to enhance CNN's performance.

# **3- Medical Image Analysis using Deep Learning**

The primary focus of medical image analysis is to find out which regions of anatomy are affected by the disease to aid physicians in learning lesion progression. The analysis of a medical image is mostly reliant on four steps: 1. image preprocessing, 2. segmentation, 3. feature extraction, and 4. pattern identification or classification [17]. Pre-processing is to remove unwanted distortions from images or improve image information for further processing [18]. Segmentation refers to the process of isolating regions, such as tumors, organs, etc., for further study. The process of extracting precise details from the Regions of Interest (ROIs) that aid in their recognition is known as feature extraction. Based on extracted features, classification assists in categorizing the ROI [19].

We have compiled a list of research papers primarily concerned with segmentation and classification in medical imaging. Following the review of CNN, we have outlined some techniques for improving CNN's performance.

#### 3-1- Convolutional Neural Network

A CNN is a supervised deep learning framework that can accept the images as input, allocate filters to convert image pixels into features, and apply those features to distinguish one data from another. It is generally composed of three layers: Convolutional Layer, Pooling Layer, and Fully-Connected Layer. The convolutional layer is the initial layer of a convolutional network. After that, more convolutional layers or pooling layers can be added, with the fullyconnected layer being the last.

349

The convolutional block draws the features from the image, from which the network can analyze and obtain hidden correlations. Pooling layers are applied to reduce the size of the convolved features, referred to as downsampling. Fully-Connected layers execute classification tasks depending on the features retrieved by the preceding layers. While convolutional layers generally adopt Rectified Linear unit function to activate neurons, Fully-connected layers employ a softmax activation function or traditional machine learning classifiers (SVM, KNN, etc.) [20] to classify inputs.

# **Overview of works:**

Despite the deep network's ability to extract features with more precision, it requires a lot of computing resources. Therefore, Badza et al. [21] introduced a simple CNN model with two convolutional blocks for classifying brain tumors using MRI images. While evaluating 3064 MRI images, the model attained the best accuracy of 95.56% using 10-fold cross-validation. Rachapudi et al. presented an efficient CNN architecture with a 22.7% error rate to classify the colorectal cancer histopathological images. To prevent overfitting, the model included five convolutional blocks, each containing a dropout layer [22].

The deep learning architecture for image segmentation comprises an encoder and a decoder. The encoder uses filters to extract features from the image, whereas the decoder is in charge of producing the final output, often a segmentation mask containing the object's shape. A Fully Convolutional Network (FCN) is an encoder-decoder model that lacks dense layers in favor of 1x1 convolutions to serve the function of fully connected layers [23]. Sun et al. developed a 3D FCNN-based model for multimodal brain tumor image segmentation. The encoder had four pathways for extracting multi-scale image features [24]. Then, these four feature maps were fused and fed to the decoder. By experimental validation on the Brain Tumor Segmentation challenge dataset 2019 (BraTS2019), the model segmented the dataset with the dataset with Dice Similarity Coefficient metrics (DSC) of 0.89, 0.78, 0.76 for the complete, core, and enhanced tumor, respectively.

In 2015, Ronneberger et al. introduced U-Net to deal with biomedical image segmentation that can learn from a small number of annotated medical images. U-Net [25] is a Ushaped encoder-decoder-based framework consisting of four encoder and four decoder blocks connected by skip connections. Dharwadkar et al. employed U-Net architecture to design a ventricle segmentation model for heart MRI images. There are four layers in the original Unet, but only three layers were employed in this model [26]. For the Right Ventricle Segmentation Challenge (RVSC) dataset, the proposed model obtained a dice score of 0.91. For segmenting the left ventricle from cardiac CT angiography, Li et al. introduced U-Net with 8-layer. The exhibited U-Net model comprised eight encoder and eight

decoder blocks. To further improve the network's efficiency,

residual blocks in the form of skip connections were introduced into each encoder and decoder block [27]. The model was trained using 1600 CT images from 100 patients, resulting in a DSC of 0.9270±139. Li et al. [29] introduced an attention mechanism between nested encoder-decoder paths in U-Net++ [28] architecture to improve the understanding of the study area in liver segmentation. The model achieved a DSC of 98.15% through the experimental analysis of the Liver Tumor Segmentation challenge dataset 2017 (LiTS2017).

V-Net extends U-Net by processing 3D MRI images with 3D convolutions [30]. Guan et al. developed a V-Net-based framework for separating brain tumors from 3D MRI brain images. In the developed framework, the Squeeze and Excite (SE) module and Attention Guide Filter (AG) module were integrated into V-Net architecture to suppress irrelevant information and enhance segmentation accuracy [31]. When tested on the BraTS2020 dataset, the model obtained dice metrics of 0.68, 0.85, and 0.70 for the complete, core, and enhanced tumor, respectively.

Mask Regional CNN is another CNN variant used in medical image segmentation. Mask R-CNN is two-phase object identification and segmentation architecture. The first stage, known as the Region Proposal Network (RPN), returns potential bounding boxes, whereas the second stage generates the segmentation mask from each box [32]. Dogan et al. introduced a hybrid model combining U-Net and MaskR-CNN for pancreas segmentation from CT images. The proposed system was composed of two parts: Pancreas detection and Pancreas segmentation. In pancreas localization, the Region proposal network, in conjunction with the mask production network, was used to determine the bounding boxes of the pancreas portion, and the subregion centered by the rough pancreas region was sliced [33]. Finally, the cropped sub-region was sent to U-Net for precise segmentation. The average DSC for the two-phase approach demonstrated on the 82 abdominal CT scans was 86.15%.

# **3-2-** Improving the Performance of CNN

The CNN model is often used for image classification because it achieves better accuracy with a low error rate. However, it needs large datasets to generalize the hidden correlations found in the learning data. Here, we have discussed two approaches that may optimize the performance of CNN. 1. Transfer learning 2. General Adversarial Network (GAN)

# **3-2-1-Transfer Learning**

Transfer learning is an effective strategy to train a network with a limited dataset. Here, the model is pre-trained using a large-scale dataset, like ImageNet having 1.4 million images divided into 1000 categories, and then applied to the problem at hand [34]. The major pre-trained CNN architectures for image classification are as follows:

**LeNet-5:** LeNet-5 [35], a 7-level convolutional network presented by LeCun et al. in 1998, was the first of its kind. The model was designed to classify handwritten digits and tested on the MNIST standard dataset, with a classification accuracy of roughly 99.2%.

AlexNet: The network's design was quite similar to LeNet, but it was deeper, with more filters per layer. It contains five convolution layers and three fully-connected layers. To control overfitting, it employs a dropout mechanism in fully connected layers [36].

**Visual Geometry Group at Oxford (VGGNet):** VGGNet typically consists of 16 layers with a lot of  $3\times3$  filters of stride one [37]. It is now the most popular method for extracting features from images. VGGNet, on the other hand, has 138 million parameters, which are difficult to manage.

**InceptionV1/GoogLeNet:** The inception/GoogleNet architecture, presented by Christian Szegedy et al., has 22 layers. The Inception block does  $1 \times 1$ ,  $3 \times 3$ ,  $5 \times 5$  convolutions, and  $3 \times 3$  pooling at the input, and the outputs of these are stacked to send to the next inception module [38]. By using 1x1 convolutions in each module, GoogleNet can reduce the size of parameters to 4 million compared to AlexNet's 60 million.

**Residual Network or ResNet:** A Residual Network, often known as ResNet, is a 152-layer model. This network employs a VGG-19-inspired network design, with grouped convolutional layers followed by no pooling in between and an average pooling before the fully connected output layer [39]. The design is converted into a residual network by adding shortcut connections. This sort of skip connection has the advantage of training deep networks without problems caused by vanishing gradients.

Moreover, DenseNet(2017), XceptionNet(2017), ShuffleNet(2017), MobileNet(2017), EfficientNet(2019), and ConvNeXt(2020) are some of the latest CNN architectures that have been employed for image classification and feature extraction. In image classification, either the pre-trained model can be used as-is or modified for a given problem. The fine-tuning of a model can be accomplished using one of the following strategies: 1. Train some layers while leaving the others frozen 2. Freeze the convolutional base only.

#### **Overview of works:**

LeNet is a popular CNN model because of its simple architecture and shorter training time. Deep neural network models use the concept of the max-pooling layer to extract the most relevant features from a region. However, in medical image analysis, where quality is poor, pixels with lower intensities may hold critical information. Hence, Hazarika et al. introduced the minimum pooling layer in LeNet for Alzheimer's disease (AD) classification. In the modified LeNet [40], the min-pooling and max-pooling layers were merged, and the resulting layer replaced all max-pooling layers. According to the experimental study on 2000 brain images, the original LeNet model classified AD with 80% accuracy, while the revised LeNet attained an accuracy of 96.64%.

Hosny et al. introduced a fine-tuned AlexNet model to categorize skin lesions into seven classes using skin images. In the proposed architecture, the last three layers were replaced by new layers to make them suitable for classifying seven types of skin lesions [41]. The parameters of these new layers were initially set at random and then modified during the training. After training on 10,015 images, the model achieved an accuracy of 98.70% and a sensitivity of 95.60%. Dulf et al. trained and assessed five different models, including GoogleNet, AlexNet, VGG16, VGG19, and InceptionV3, to determine the best model for classifying the eight categories of colorectal polyps. The main criteria for adopting the network were sensitivity and F1-score [42]. Hence, InceptionV3 was chosen with an F1score of 98.14% and a sensitivity of 98.13%. In InceptionV3 [43], the 5×5 convolutional layer is replaced with two  $3\times3$ convolutional layers to lower the computational cost.

Hameed et al. demonstrated an ensemble deep learning strategy to categorize breast cancer into carcinoma and noncarcinoma using histopathology images. In this case, VGG models, namely VGG16 and VGG19, were used to design the framework. VGG19 has the same basic architecture as VGG16 with three additional convolutional layers. Besides the first block, the remaining four blocks were updated during training to fine-tune the models [44]. Finally, the tunedVGG16 and VGG19 models were ensembled, resulting in an overall accuracy of 95.29%.

Togacar et al. used both VGG16 and AlexNet to extract features for brain tumor classification from MRI images, where each model captured 1000 features [45]. Then, using the Recursive Feature Elimination (RFE) feature selection algorithm, the obtained features were evaluated to identify the most efficient features. Finally, the SVM classifier gave 96.77% accuracy with 200 chosen features. Eid et al. presented ResNet-based SVM for pneumonia detection using X-rays. The developed model preferred ResNet to get features from chest X-rays, then used boosting algorithm to choose the relevant features and an SVM classifier to detect pneumonia based on those features [46]. The model had 98.13% accuracy after being trained on 5,863 X-rays.

Xiao et al. used a Res2Net-based 3D-UNet to segment the left ventricle from echocardiography images. To extract 3D features at multiple scales, the basic residual unit in Res2Net was replaced with a set of  $3\times3\times3$  filters [47]. Finally, a group of  $1\times1\times1$  filters merged feature maps from all groups. According to an experimental analysis of 1186 lung images from the Lung Nodule Analysis dataset 2016 (LUNA16), the model acquired a DSC of 95.30%. Goyal et al. used the Mask R-CNN for segmenting kidneys from the MRI images. In the proposed work,

InceptionResNetV2 was adopted as the CNN network to

segment the kidneys. Then, to refine the segmentation

result, post-processing procedures such as eliminating any voxel that was not associated with the kidney and fill operation were performed [48]. The proposed model got a mean dice score of 0.904 after being evaluated with 100 scans. Table 1 lists a few more surveyed works on transfer learning. All of the listed models [59]-[74] underwent pre-training on the ImageNet dataset.

# **3-2-2- Generative Adversarial Network**

Goodfellow et al. introduced the Generative Adversarial Network (GAN), a type of neural network meant for unsupervised learning. GANs generally are of two competing neural network models: a generator that creates new data samples that mimic training data and a discriminator that differentiates training data from the generator's output [49].

Cirillo et al. introduced a 3D GAN to segment brain tumors using MRI images from the BraTS2020 dataset. The U-Net architecture-based generator resulted in the segmented tumor region. The GAN discriminator was given a 3D MRI image and its segmentation output from the generator as input and generated a precise segmentation mask [50]. The GAN model segmented the whole, the core, and the enhanced tumor with average dice scores of 87.20%, 81.14%, and 78.67%, respectively. Wang et al. developed a U-Net segmentation network and a discriminant network with multi-scale features extraction to enhance prostate segmentation accuracy [51]. The approach obtained a DSC value of 91.66% by demonstrating it on 220 MRI images. Wei et al. used a combination of GAN and Mask R-CNN to segment the liver from CT images. In the improved Mask R-CNN, the k-means algorithm was utilized to adjust the bounding box parameters using Euclidean distance [52]. The GAN-based approach yielded an average DSC of 95.3% while evaluating 378 CT images. A V-Net and Wasserstein GAN-based model was explored by Ma et al. [53] to improve the efficiency of liver segmentation. The WGAN [54] model includes Wasserstein distance to fix the issue of GAN training instability. On two training on two abdominal CT scan datasets, LiTS and CHAOS, the method achieved DSC of 92% and 90%, respectively. Zhang et al. proposed Dense GAN coupled with the U-Net to separate lung lesions from covid-19 CT images. A Dense Block with five layers [55] was introduced into the discriminator network to make the model more compact. The proposed model got a mean dice score of 0.683 when tested on 100 lung CT images. Besides this, table 2 includes some more GAN-based techniques [75]-[84] applied to medical images.

Ref.	Year	Model	Findings Modality		No of Samples	Accuracy
[59]	2021	VGG16	Brain tumor classification	MRI	3704	95.71%
[60]	2020	ResNet50	Brain tumor classification	MRI	253	97.2%
[61]	2020	GoogleNet	Alzheimer's disease classification	MRI	479	97.15%
[62]	2020	Ensemble of AlexNet, DenseNet121, ResNet18, GoogleNet, InceptionV3	Pneumonia detection	X-ray	5232	96.4%
[63]	2020	AlexNet	Lung nodule classification	CT and X-ray	16,471	99.6%
[64]	2020	ResNet50	Breast tumor classification	Mammogram	1167	85.71%
[65]	2020	ResNet50	Breast tumor classification	Histopathological images	7909	99%
[66]	2021	VGG16	Breast tumor classification	Mammogram	322	98.96%
[67]	2020	DenseNet201	Skin lesion classification	Skin images	10,050	96.18%
[68]	2020	GoogleNet	Skin image classification	Skin images	2376	99.29%

[69]	2021	VGG19	Thyroid nodule cell classification Cytology images		9209	93.05%
[70]	2021	GoogleNet	Thyroid nodule classification	Ultrasound	3123	96.04%
[71]	2021	GoogleNet	Colorectal polyps classification	Gastrointestinal polyp images	47,238	98.44%
[72]	2020	Faster R-CNN + VGG16	Brain tumor segmentation and classification	MRI	2406	77.60%
[73]	2021	U-Net + InceptionV3	Breast tumor segmentation and classification	Mammogram	1216	98.87%
[74]	2020	Mask R-CNN + ResNet-50	white blood cells detection and classification	Cytological images	145	95.3%

GAN can also be used for data augmentation [56] (i.e., creating plausible examples to add to a dataset) to boost classifier accuracy. GAN was also used [57] to generate realistic skin cancer images. The generator generated high-quality training data, and the discriminator tried to distinguish the original data from the generator's data. Ahmad et al. developed an Auxiliary GAN framework to assess the accuracy of skin cancer categorization. First, the variational autoencoder network was trained to obtain the latent noise vector, and the generator produced skin lesion samples from this informative noise vector [58]. The GAN used here not only decided whether the image was original or not but also predicted the image's class label with 92.5% accuracy.

Table 2: Overview of GAN-based methods

Ref.	Approach	Findings	Samples	Metrics
[75]	Capsule GAN + LeNet	Prostate image classification	1400 MRI Images	Accuracy 89.20%
[76]	GAN + AlexNet	Parkinson's disease	504 MRI Images	Accuracy 89.23%
[77]	GAN + DenseNet 121	Skin lesion classification	525 Skin Images	Accuracy 94.25%
[78]	GAN + Inception V3	Breast mass classification	1447 Ultra sound Images	Accuracy 90.41%
[79]	GAN + ResNet50	Brain tumor classification	3064 MRI Images	Accuracy 96.25%
[80]	3D U-Net , VGG16	Brain tumor segmentation	285 MRI Images	DSC 90.1%

[81]	U-Net , Fully connected	Breast tumor segmentation	1062 Ultra sound Images	DSC 88.41%
[82]	DeepLap V2 [104], FCN	Left ventricle segmentation	10,022 MRI Images	DSC 88.0%
[83]	U-Net , FCN	Whole heart segmentation	500 CT Images	DSC 86.32%
[84]	Auto encoder, CNN	Lung lesion segmentation	1936 PET Images	DSC 62.0%

## 4- Discussion

To make straightforward comparisons, we have summarized the outcomes of the papers based on covid-19 identification and child bone age prediction.

# 4-1- Covid -19 Detection

COVID-Net, an open-access initiative, was launched in March 2020 to assist healthcare professionals in combating Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) by leveraging the advancement of machine learning. Furthermore, it regularly releases deep learning models and benchmark datasets to keep up with the pandemic [105]. In response to this initiative, Wang et al. introduced COVID-Net, a deep CNN for covid-19 identification from chest X-rays.

In the COVID-Net model, residual Projection-Expansion-Projection-Extension (PEPX) blocks which comprise four  $1 \times 1$  convolutions, were introduced to enhance the efficiency of features while ensuring computational efficiency [85]. The model efficacy was verified using 13,975 X-ray images from the COVIDx dataset. According to the experimental findings, the model attained a precision of 98.9% and a recall of 91.0% to detect covid-19. The COVIDx is a largescale dataset of chest X-ray images compiled from publicly available data sources. As of now, COVIDx consists of 30,882 X-ray images from 17,026 patients.

Table 3 shows the deep learning models that were employed for covid-19 detection. We can observe from the methods studied that the classification accuracy is affected not only by the CNN model chosen but also by the size of the dataset, the type of modality, the data augmentation techniques, and the features opted for processing.

# 4-2- RSNA Pediatric Bone Age Challenge 2017

In 2017, the Radiological Society of North America (RSNA) held a contest to predict the children's bone age from the hand X-rays. The main goal of this challenge was to encourage people to develop machine learning models that could accurately estimate bone age from pediatric hand X-rays. The performance measure was the Mean Absolute Error in months, the average absolute difference between predicted results and ground truth bone age [103]. The bone age dataset [106], consisting of 14,236 left hand X-ray images, was divided into a training set, a validation set, and a test set of 12,611, 1425, and 200, respectively.

			Total samples			
Ref.	Deep learning model	Modality	Normal	pneumonia	Covid-19	Evaluation Metrics
[85]	COVID-Net	X-ray	8,066	5,521	183	Accuracy 94.3%, Precision 90.9%, Recall 96.8%
[86]	EfficientNet	X-ray	8,066	5,521	183	Accuracy 93.9%, Precision 100%, Recall 96.8%
[87]	NASNet	X-ray	533	515	108	Accuracy 95%, Precision 95%, Recall 90%
[88]	GAN and VGG16	X-ray	721	0	403	Accuracy 95%, Recall 90%
[89]	DenseNet103, ResNet18	X-ray	191	20	180	Accuracy 88.9%, Precision 83.4%, Recall 85.9%
[90]	ResNet101, ResNet152	X-ray	8851	9576	140	Accuracy 96.1%
[91]	DenseNet and Graph Attention Network	X-ray	10192	7399	399	Accuracy 94.1%, Precision 94.47%, Recall 91.9%
[92]	VGG19	X-ray	3181	0	2049	Accuracy 98.36%
[93]	ResNet34, HRNet	X-ray	400	0	400	Accuracy 99.99%, Precision 100%, Recall 99.9%
[94]	VGG16	СТ	49800	23652	80800	Accuracy93.57%, Precision 89.40%, Recall 94%
[95]	Deep long short-term memory network	СТ	547	631	612	Accuracy 97.93%, Recall 98.18%
[96]	VGG19	Ultrasound	235	277	399	Accuracy 100%

#### Table 3: Deep learning networks for covid-19 identification

Table 4 summarizes some of the recent CNN-based methods that used the RSNA bone age benchmark dataset. The approaches stated were divided into two phases. CNN model was used in the initial stage to carve up the hand region from the X-ray images. The second phase included a pre-trained model for extracting inherent features from the hand region and a regression layer to estimate bone age.

Table 4: CNN frameworks using RSNA bone age dataset

Ref.	Segmentation	Regression	Mean Absolute Error (in months)
[97]	U-Net	VGG16	8.08
[98]	U-Net	Inception- ResNetV2	8.59
[99]	DeepLabV3	MobileNetV1	8.200
[100]	U-Net	VGG16	9.997
[101]	CNN	MobileNetV3	6.2
[102]	Mask R-CNN	VGG19	6.38

Table 4 shows that MobileNetV3 [101] and VGG19 [102] both performed better on bone age prediction, with MAE around six months.VGG16 provided a better MAE [97] when utilising specific bones on the hand region to estimate bone age.Inception-ResNetV2 was employed as a feature extractor [98]. After extracting features, Support Vector Regression (SVR) and Kernel Ridge Regression (KRR) were ensembled to forecast skeletal age.

# **5-** Conclusion

We have presented a detailed overview of newly published deep learning-based methods from 2019 to 2022 in medical imaging. Recent advances in deep learning architectures have the ability to boost diagnostic precision in medical imaging. On the other hand, deep learning necessitates a large volume of data to outperform traditional machine learning models. In practice, however, obtaining such datasets containing medical images is difficult. Transfer learning via pre-trained models can help to solve this problem. There is a clear tendency toward modifying pre-trained models to make them more appropriate for a specific task. This popularity is because pretrained models expedite training while ensuring good classification accuracy. Another trend is toemploy GAN to enhance segmentation accuracy due to its capacity to generate high-quality medical images and imitate input data distribution. The GAN-based approaches have proven to be effective in resolving discrepancies between ground truth and model-generated segmentation masks. Also, GAN's ability to synthesize data can help solve difficulties such as lack of

medical images or imbalanced data distribution, resulting in improved classification model performance.

# References

- H. P. Chan, L. M. Hadjiiski, and R. K. Samala, "Computeraided diagnosis in the era of deep learning," Medical Physics, vol. 47, no. 5, pp. e218–e227, May 2020.
- [2] F. Ritter, T. Boskamp, A. Homeyer, H. Laue, M. Schwier, F. Link, and H. O. Peitgen, "Medical Image Analysis," IEEE Pulse, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 60–70, Nov. 2011.
- [3] J. Ker, L. Wang, J. Rao, and T. Lim, "Deep learning applications in medical image analysis," IEEE Access, vol. 6, pp. 9375–9389, Dec. 2017.
- [4] T. Kiyatmoko, "Retinal Vessel Extraction using Dynamic Threshold and Enhancement Image Filter from Retina Fundus," Journal of InformationSystems & Telecommunication, vol. 6, no. 24, pp. 189-196, Jun. 2019.
- [5] K. A. Kumar, and R. Boda, "A Threshold-based Brain Tumour Segmentation from MR Images using Multi-Objective Particle Swarm Optimization," Journalof Information Systems and Telecommunication, vol. 9, no. 36, pp. 218-225, Oct. 2021.
- [6] M. Jena, S. P. Mishra, and D. Mishra, "A survey on applications of machine learning techniques for medical image segmentation," International Journal of Engineering & Technology, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 4489–4495, Nov. 2018.
- [7] S. Niyas, S. J. Pawan, M. Anand Kumar, and J. Rajan, "Medical image segmentation with 3D convolutional neural networks: A survey," Neurocomputing, vol. 493, pp. 397–413, Jul. 2022.
- [8] P. Dutta, P. Upadhyay, M. De, and R. G. Khalkar, "Medical image analysis using deep convolutional neural networks: CNN architectures and transfer learning," in 2020 International Conference on Inventive Computation Technologies (ICICT), Feb. 2020, pp. 175-180.
- [9] M. Jogin, Mohana, M. S. Madhulika, G. D. Divya, R. K. Meghana, and S. Apoorva, "Feature Extraction using Convolution Neural Networks (CNN) and Deep Learning," in 2018 3rd IEEE International Conference on Recent Trends in Electronics, Information & Communication Technology (RTEICT), May 2018, pp. 2319–2323.
- [10] E. Gholam, and S. R. KamelTabbakh, "Diagnosis of Gastric Cancer via Classification of the Tongue Images using Deep Convolutional Networks," Journal of Information Systems and Telecommunication, vol. 9, no. 35, pp.191-196, Jul. 2021.
- [11] I. J. Goodfellow, J. Shlens, and C. Szegedy, "Explaining and harnessing adversarial examples," arXiv [stat.ML], 2014.
- [12] Z. Hu, J. Tang, Z. Wang, K. Zhang, L. Zhang, and Q. Sun, "Deep learning for image-based cancer detection and diagnosis – A survey,"Pattern Recognition, vol. 83, pp. 134– 149, Nov. 2018.
- [13] X. Liu, L. Song, S. Liu, and Y. Zhang, "A Review of Deep-Learning-Based Medical Image Segmentation Methods," Sustainability, vol. 13, no. 3, p. 1224, Jan. 2021.
- [14] H. C. Shin, H.R. Roth, M. Gao, L. Lu, Z. Xu, I. Nogues, J. Yao, D. Mollura, and R.M. Summers, "Deep Convolutional Neural Networks for Computer-Aided Detection: CNN Architectures, Dataset Characteristics and Transfer Learning," IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 1285–1298, May 2016.

- [15] S. Kazeminia, C. Baur, A. Kuijper, B. Van Ginneken, N. Navab, S. Albarqouni, and A. Mukhopadhyay, "GANs for medical image analysis," Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, vol. 109, p. 101938, Sep. 2020.
- [16] Y. Fu, Y. Lei, T. Wang, W. J. Curran, T. Liu, and X. Yang, "A review of deep learning based methods for medical image multi-organ segmentation," PhysicaMedica, vol. 85, pp. 107– 122, May 2021.
- [17] B. Halalli, and A. Makandar, "Computer Aided Diagnosis -Medical Image Analysis Techniques," Breast Imaging, Dec. 2017.
- [18] L. Chandrashekar, and A. Sreedevi, "A two-stage multiobjective enhancement for fused magnetic resonance image and computed tomography brain images, "Journal of InformationSystems & Telecommunication, vol. 8, no. 30, pp. 93-104, Aug. 2020.
- [19] S. Zakariapour, H. Jazayeriy, and M. Ezoji, "Mitosis detection in breast cancer histological images based on texture features using adaboost, "Journal of InformationSystems & Telecommunication, vol. 5, no. 8, pp. 1-10, Jul. 2017.
- [20] M. Kumar, S. K. Khatri, and M. Mohammadian, "Breast Cancer Classification Approaches-A Comparative Analysis," Journal of InformationSystems & Telecommunication, vol. 11, no. 41, pp. 1-11, Jan. 2023.
- [21] M. M. Badža, and M. Č. Barjaktarović, "Classification of Brain Tumors from MRI Images Using a Convolutional Neural Network," Applied Sciences, vol. 10, no. 6, p. 1999, Mar. 2020.
- [22] V. Rachapudi, and G. Lavanya Devi, "Improved convolutional neural network based histopathological image classification," Evolutionary Intelligence, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 1337-1343, Feb. 2020.
- [23] E. Shelhamer, J. Long, and T. Darrell, "Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation," IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 640–651, Jan. 2017.
- [24] J. Sun, Y. Peng, Y. Guo, and D. Li, "Segmentation of the multimodal brain tumor image used the multi-pathway architecture method based on 3D FCN," Neurocomputing, vol. 423, pp. 34-45, Jan. 2021.
- [25] O. Ronneberger, P. Fischer, and T. Brox, "U-Net: Convolutional Networks for Biomedical Image Segmentation," Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pp. 234–241, Oct. 2015.
- [26] N. V. Dharwadkar, and A. K. Savvashe, "Right Ventricle Segmentation of Magnetic Resonance Image Using the Modified Convolutional Neural Network," Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 3713–3722, Jan. 2021.
- [27] C. Li, X. Song, H. Zhao, L. Feng, T. Hu, Y. Zhang, J. Jiang, J. Wang, J. Xiang, and Y. Sun, "An 8-layer residual U-Net with deep supervision for segmentation of the left ventricle in cardiac CT angiography," Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, vol. 200, p. 105876, Mar. 2021.
- [28] Z. Zhou, M. M. RahmanSiddiquee, N. Tajbakhsh, and J. Liang, "Unet++: A nested u-net architecture for medical image segmentation,"inDeep learning in medical image analysis and multimodal learning for clinical decision support, Cham: Springer, Sep. 2018, pp. 3-11.
- [29] C. Li, Y. Tan, W. Chen, X. Luo, Y. Gao, X. Jia, and Z. Wang, "Attention unet++: A nested attention-aware U-net for liver CT

image segmentation," in 2020 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), Oct. 2020, pp. 345-349.

- [30] Milletari, N. Navab, and S. A. Ahmadi, "V-net: Fully convolutional neural networks for volumetric medical image segmentation," in 2016 Fourth International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV),Oct. 2016, pp. 565-571.
- [31] X. Guan, G. Yang, J. Yang, X. Xu, W. Jiang, and X. Lai, "3D AGSE-VNet: an automatic brain tumor MRI data segmentation framework," BMC Medical Imaging, vol. 22, no. 1, Jan. 2022.
- [32] K. He, G. Gkioxari, P. Dollar, and R. Girshick, "Mask R-CNN," IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis andMachine Intelligence, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 386–397, Mar. 2017.
- [33] R. O. Dogan, H. Dogan, C. Bayrak, and T. Kayikcioglu, "A Two-Phase Approach using Mask R-CNN and 3D U-Net for High-Accuracy Automatic Segmentation of Pancreas in CT Imaging," Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, vol. 207, p. 106141, Aug. 2021.
- [34] R. Yamashita, M. Nishio, R. K. G. Do, and K. Togashi, "Convolutional neural networks: an overview and application in radiology," Insights into Imaging, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 611– 629, Jun. 2018.
- [35] Y. Lecun, L. Bottou, Y. Bengio, and P. Haffner, "Gradientbased learning applied to document recognition," Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 86, no. 11, pp. 2278–2324, Nov. 1998.
- [36] A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and G. E. Hinton, "ImageNet classification with deep convolutional neural networks," Communications of the ACM,vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 84–90, May 2017.
- [37] K. Simonyan, and A. Zisserman, "Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition," arXiv [cs.CV], 2014.
- [38] C. Szegedy, Y. Jia, P. Sermanet, S. Reed, D. Anguelov, D. Erhan, V. Vanhoucke, and A. Rabinovich "Going deeper with convolutions," in 2015 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2015.
- [39] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition," in 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2016, pp. 770–778.
- [40] R. A. Hazarika, A. Abraham, D. Kandar, and A. K. Maji, "An Improved LeNet-Deep Neural Network Model for Alzheimer's Disease Classification Using Brain Magnetic Resonance Images," IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 161194–161207, Nov. 2021.
- [41] K. M. Hosny, M. A. Kassem, and M. M. Fouad, "Classification of Skin Lesions into Seven Classes Using Transfer Learning with AlexNet," Journal of Digital Imaging, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 1325–1334, Jun. 2020.
- [42] Eva-H. Dulf, M. Bledea, T. Mocan, and L. Mocan, "Automatic Detection of Colorectal Polyps Using Transfer Learning," Sensors, vol. 21, no. 17, p. 5704, Aug. 2021.
- [43] C. Szegedy, V. Vanhoucke, S. Ioffe, J. Shlens, and Z. Wojna, "Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision," in 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Jun. 2016, pp. 2818-2826.
- [44] Z. Hameed, S. Zahia, B. Garcia-Zapirain, J. Javier Aguirre, and A. MaríaVanegas, "Breast Cancer Histopathology Image Classification Using an Ensemble of Deep Learning Models," Sensors, vol. 20, no. 16, p. 4373, Aug. 2020.

- [45] M. Toğaçar, Z. Cömert, and B. Ergen, "Classification of brain MRI using hyper column technique with convolutional neural network and feature selection method," Expert Systems with Applications, vol. 149, p. 113274, Jul. 2020.
- [46] M. M. Eid, and Y. H. Elawady, "Efficient Pneumonia Detection for Chest Radiography Using ResNet-Based SVM," European Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–8, Jan. 2021.
- [47] Xiao, B. Liu, L. Geng, F. Zhang, and Y. Liu, "Segmentation of Lung Nodules Using Improved 3D-UNet Neural Network," Symmetry, vol. 12, no. 11, p. 1787, Oct. 2020.
- [48] M. Goyal, J. Guo, L. Hinojosa, K. Hulsey, and I. Pedrosa, "Automated kidney segmentation by mask R-CNN in T2weighted magnetic resonance imaging," in Medical Imaging2022: Computer-Aided Diagnosis, vol. 12033, pp. 89-94, Apr. 2022.
- [49] I. Goodfellow, J. Pouget-Abadie, M. Mirza, B. Xu, D. Warde-Farley, S. Ozair, A. Courville, and Y. Bengio, "Generative adversarial networks," Communications of the ACM, vol. 63, no. 11, pp. 139–144, Oct. 2020.
- [50] M. D. Cirillo, D. Abramian, and A. Eklund, "Vox2Vox: 3D-GAN for Brain Tumour Segmentation," Brainlesion: Glioma, Multiple Sclerosis, Stroke and Traumatic Brain Injuries, pp. 274–284, Oct. 2021.
- [51] W. Wang, G. Wang, X. Wu, X. Ding, X. cao, L. Wang, J. Zhang, and P. Wang "Automatic segmentation of prostate magnetic resonance imaging using generative adversarial networks," Clinical Imaging, vol. 70, pp. 1–9, Feb. 2021.
- [52] X. Wei, X. Chen, C. Lai, Y. Zhu, H. Yang, and Y. Du, "Automatic Liver Segmentation in CT Images with Enhanced GAN and Mask Region-Based CNN Architectures," BioMed Research International, vol. 2021, pp. 1–11, Dec. 2021.
- [53] J. Ma, Y. Deng, Z. Ma, K. Mao, and Y. Chen, "A Liver Segmentation Method Based on the Fusion of VNet and WGAN," Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine, vol. 2021, pp. 1–12, Oct. 2021.
- [54] M. Arjovsky, S. Chintala, and L. Bottou, "Wasserstein Generative Adversarial Networks," in International Conference on Machine Learning, Jul. 2017, pp. 214-223.
- [55] J. Zhang, L. Yu, D. Chen, W. Pan, C. Shi, Y. Niu, X. Yao, X. Xu, and Y. Cheng, "Dense GAN and multi-layer attention based lesion segmentation method for COVID-19 CT images," Biomedical Signal Processing and Control, vol. 69, p. 102901, Aug. 2021.
- [56] A. Antoniou, A. Storkey, and H. Edwards, "Data augmentation Generative Adversarial Networks," arXiv [stat.ML], Nov. 2017.
- [57] B. Beynek, Ş. Bora, V. Evren, and A. Ugur, "Synthetic Skin Cancer Image Data Generation Using Generative Adversarial Neural Network," International Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies and Innovative Technologies, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 147– 150, Nov. 2021.
- [58] B. Ahmad, S. Jun, V. Palade, Q. You, L. Mao, and M. Zhongjie, "Improving Skin Cancer Classification Using Heavy-Tailed Student T-Distribution in Generative Adversarial Networks (TED-GAN)," Diagnostics, vol. 11, no. 11, p. 2147, Nov. 2021.
- [59] V. K. Waghmare, and M. H. Kolekar, "Brain Tumor Classification Using Deep Learning," in Internet of Things for Healthcare Technologies, Jun. 2020, pp. 155–175.

- [60] A. Çinar, and M. Yildirim, "Detection of tumors on brain MRI images using the hybrid convolutional neural network architecture," Medical Hypotheses, vol. 139, p. 109684, Jun. 2020.
- [61] S. Chen, J. Zhang, X. Wei, and Q. Zhang, "Alzheimer's Disease Classification Using Structural MRI Based on Convolutional Neural Networks," in 2020 2<sup>nd</sup>International Conference on Big-data Service and Intelligent Computation, Dec. 2020, pp.7-13.
- [62] V. Chouha, S.K. Singh, A. Khamparia, D. Gupta, P. Tiwari, C. Moreira, R. Damaševičius, and V.H.C. De Albuquerque, "A Novel Transfer Learning Based Approach for Pneumonia Detection in Chest X-ray Images," Applied Sciences, vol. 10, no. 2, p. 559, Jan. 2020.
- [63] C.J. Lin, and Y.C. Li, "Lung Nodule Classification Using Taguchi-Based Convolutional Neural Networks for Computer Tomography Images," Electronics, vol. 9, no. 7, p. 1066, Jun. 2020.
- [64] A. S. Abdel Rahman, S. B. Belhaouari, A. Bouzerdoum, H. Baali, T. Alam, and A. M. Eldaraa, "Breast Mass Tumor Classification using Deep Learning," in 2020 IEEE International Conference on Informatics, IoT, and Enabling Technologies (ICIoT), Feb. 2020, pp. 271-276.
- [65] Q. A. Al-Haija, and A. Adebanjo, "Breast Cancer Diagnosis in Histopathological Images Using ResNet-50 Convolutional Neural Network," in 2020 IEEE International IOT, Electronics and Mechatronics Conference (IEMTRONICS), Sep. 2020, pp. 1-7.
- [66] A. Saber, M. Sakr, O. M. Abo-Seida, A. Keshk, and H. Chen, "A Novel Deep-Learning Model for Automatic Detection and Classification of Breast Cancer Using the Transfer-Learning Technique," IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 71194–71209, May 2021.
- [67] K. Thurnhofer-Hemsi, and E. Domínguez, "A Convolutional Neural Network Framework for Accurate Skin Cancer Detection," Neural Processing Letters, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 3073-3093, Oct. 2020.
- [68] K. M. Hosny, M. A. Kassem, and M. M. Foaud, "Skin melanoma classification using ROI and data augmentation with deep convolutional neural networks," Multimedia Tools and Applications, vol. 9, no. 33, pp. 24029-24055, Jun. 2020.
- [69] A. B. Bakht, S. Javed, R. Dina, H. Almarzouqi, A. Khandoker, and N. Werghi, "Thyroid Nodule Cell Classification in Cytology Images Using Transfer Learning Approach," in International Conference on Soft Computing and Pattern Recognition, Dec. 2021, pp. 539–549.
- [70] W. Chen, Z. Gu, Z. Liu, Y. Fu, Z. Ye, X. Zhang, and L. Xiao, "A New Classification Method in Ultrasound Images of Benign and Malignant Thyroid Nodules Based on Transfer Learning and Deep Convolutional Neural Network," Complexity, vol. 2021, pp. 1–9, Sep. 2021.
- [71] Eva-H. Dulf, M. Bledea, T. Mocan, and L. Mocan, "Automatic Detection of Colorectal Polyps Using Transfer Learning," Sensors, vol. 21, no. 17, p. 5704, Aug. 2021.
- [72] Y. Bhanothu, A. Kamalakannan, and G. Rajamanickam, "Detection and Classification of Brain Tumor in MRI Images using Deep Convolutional Network," in 2020 6th International Conference on Advanced Computing and Communication Systems, Mar. 2020, pp. 248-252.
- [73] W. M. Salama, and M. H. Aly, "Deep learning in mammography images segmentation and classification:

Automated CNN approach," Alexandria Engineering Journal, vol. 60, no. 5, pp. 4701–4709, Oct. 2021.

- [74] A. Khouani, M. El HabibDaho, S. A. Mahmoudi, M. A. Chikh, and B. Benzineb, "Automated recognition of white blood cells using deep learning," Biomedical Engineering Letters, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 359–367, Jul. 2020.
- [75] H. Yu, and X. Zhang, "Synthesis of Prostate MR Images for Classification Using Capsule Network-Based GAN Model," Sensors, vol. 20, no. 20, p. 5736, Oct. 2020.
- [76] S. Kaur, H. Aggarwal, and R. Rani, "Diagnosis of Parkinson's disease using deep CNN with transfer learning and data augmentation," Multimedia Tools and Applications, vol. 80, no. 7, pp.10113-10139,Nov. 2020.
- [77] B. Mondal, N. Das, K. C. Santosh, and M. Nasipuri, "Improved Skin Disease Classification Using Generative Adversarial Network," in 2020 IEEE 33rd International Symposium on Computer-Based Medical Systems (CBMS),Jul. 2020, pp. 520-525.
- [78] T. Pang, J. H. D. Wong, W. L. Ng, and C. S. Chan, "Semisupervised GAN-based Radiomics Model for Data Augmentation in Breast Ultrasound Mass Classification," Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, vol. 203, p. 106018, May 2021.
- [79] B. Ahmad, J. Sun, Q. You, V. Palade, and Z. Mao, "Brain Tumor Classification Using a Combination of VariationalAutoencoders and Generative Adversarial Networks," Biomedicines, vol. 10, no. 2, p. 223, Jan. 2022.
- [80] Y. Li, Y. Chen, and Y. Shi, "Brain Tumor Segmentation Using 3D Generative Adversarial Networks," International Journal of Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence, vol. 35, no. 4, p.2157002, Aug. 2020.
- [81] A. Negi, A. N. J. Raj, R. Nersisson, Z. Zhuang, andM. Murugappan, "RDA-UNET-WGAN: An Accurate Breast Ultrasound Lesion Segmentation Using Wasserstein Generative Adversarial Networks," Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, vol. 45, no. 8, pp. 6399–6410, Apr. 2020.
- [82] C. Decourt, and L. Duong, "Semi-supervised generative adversarial networks for the segmentation of the left ventricle in pediatric MRI," Computers in Biology and Medicine, vol. 123, p. 103884, Aug. 2020.
- [83] Z. Lou, W. Huo, K. Le, and X. Tian, "Whole Heart Auto Segmentation of Cardiac CT Images Using U-Net Based GAN," in 2020 13th International Congress on Image and Signal Processing, BioMedical Engineering and Informatics (CISP-BMEI), Oct. 2020, pp. 192-196.
- [84] X. Wu, L. Bi, M. Fulham, and J. Kim, "Unsupervised Positron Emission Tomography Tumor Segmentation via GAN based Adversarial Auto-Encoder," in 2020 16th International Conference on Control, Automation, Robotics and Vision (ICARCV), Dec. 2020, pp. 448-453.
- [85] L. Wang, Z. Q. Lin, and A. Wong, "COVID-Net: a tailored deep convolutional neural network design for detection of COVID-19 cases from chest X-ray images," Scientific Reports, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 1-12, Nov. 2020.
- [86] E. Luz, P. Silva, R. Silva, L. Silva, J. Guimarães, G. Miozzo, G. Moreira, and D. Menotti, "Towards an effective and efficient deep learning model for COVID-19 patterns detection in X-ray images," Research on Biomedical Engineering, Apr. 2021, pp. 1-14.
- [87] N. S. Punn, and S. Agarwal, "Automated diagnosis of COVID-19 with limited posteroanterior chest X-ray images using fine-

tuned deep neural networks," Applied Intelligence, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 2689-202, Oct. 2020.

- [88] A. Waheed, M. Goyal, D. Gupta, A. Khanna, F. Al-Turjman, and P. R. Pinheiro, "CovidGAN: DataAugmentation using Auxiliary Classifier GAN for Improved Covid-19 Detection," IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 91916-91923, May 2020.
- [89] Y. Oh, S. Park, and J. C. Ye, "Deep Learning COVID-19 Features on CXR using Limited Training Data Sets," IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, vol. 39, no. 8, pp. 2688-2700, May 2020.
- [90] N. Wang, H. Liu, and C. Xu, "Deep learning for the detection of COVID-19 using transfer learning and model integration," in 2020 IEEE 10th International Conference on Electronics Information and Emergency Communication (ICEIEC), Jul. 2020, pp. 281-284.
- [91] J. Li, D. Zhang, Q. Liu, R. Bu, and Q. Wei, "COVID-GATNet: A deep learning framework for screening of COVID-19 from chest X-ray images," in 2020 IEEE 6th International Conference on Computer and Communications (ICCC), Dec. 2020, pp. 1897-1902.
- [92] M. Ahsan, M. Based, J. Haider, and M. Kowalski, "COVID-19 detection from chest X-ray images using feature fusion and deep learning," Sensors, vol. 21, no. 4, p.1480, Jan. 2021.
- [93] A. S. Al-Waisy, S. Al-FahdawiS, M. A. Mohammed, K. H. Abdulkareem, S. A. Mostafa, M. S. Maashi, M. Arif, and B. Garcia-Zapirain, "COVID-CheXNet: hybrid deep learning framework for identifying COVID-19 virus in chest X-rays images," Soft Computing, Nov. 2020, pp. 1-16.
- [94] X. Li, W. Tan, P. Liu, Q. Zhou, and J. Yang, "Classification of COVID-19 Chest CT Images Based on Ensemble Deep Learning," Journal of Healthcare Engineering, vol. 2021, pp. 1–7, Apr. 2021.
- [95] Y. Pathak, P. K. Shukla, and K. V. Arya, "Deep Bidirectional Classification Model for COVID-19 Disease Infected Patients," IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 1234–1241, Jul. 2021.
- [96] M. J. Horry, S.Chakraborty, M. Paul, A. Ulhaq, B. Pradhan, M. Saha, and N. Shukla, "COVID-19 Detection Through Transfer Learning Using Multimodal Imaging Data," IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 149808–149824, Aug. 2020.
- [97] V. I. Iglovikov, A. Rakhlin, A. A. Kalinin, and A. A. Shvets, "Paediatric bone age assessment using deep convolutional neural networks," in Deep Learning in Medical Image Analysis and Multimodal Learning for Clinical Decision Support, Cham: Springer International Publishing, Sep. 2018, pp. 300-308.
- [98] X. Pan, Y. Zhao, H. Chen, D. Wei, C. Zhao, and Z. Wei, "Fully Automated Bone Age Assessment on Large-Scale Hand X-Ray Dataset," International Journal of Biomedical Imaging,vol. 2020, pp. 1–12, Mar. 2020.
- [99] M. A. Zulkifley, S.R. Abdani, and N.H. Zulkifley, "Automated Bone Age Assessment with Image Registration Using Hand Xray Images," Applied Sciences, vol. 10, no. 20, p. 7233, Oct. 2020.
- [100] Y.Gao, T. Zhu, and X. Xu, "Bone age assessment based on deep convolution neural network incorporated with segmentation," International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery, vol. 15, no. 12, pp.1951-1962, Sep. 2020.

- [101] S. Li, B. Liu, S. Li, X. Zhu, Y. Yan, and D. Zhang, "A deep learning-based computer-aided diagnosis method of X-ray images for bone age assessment," Complex & Intelligent Systems, pp.1-11, Apr. 2021.
- [102] I. Salim, and A. B. Hamza, "Ridge regression neural network for pediatric bone age assessment," Multimedia Tools and Applications, vol. 80, no. 20, pp. 30461–30478, May 2021.
- [103] S. S. Halabi, L. M. Prevedello, J. Kalpathy-Cramer, A.B. Mamonov, A. Bilbily, M. Cicero, I. Pan, L. A. Pereira, R. T. Sousa, N. Abdala, and F.C. Kitamura, "The RSNA Pediatric Bone Age Machine Learning Challenge," Radiology, vol. 290, no. 2, pp.498-503, Feb. 2019.
- [104] L.C. Chen, G. Papandreou, I. Kokkinos, K. Murphy, and A. L. Yuille, "DeepLab: Semantic Image Segmentation with Deep Convolutional Nets, Atrous Convolution, and Fully Connected CRFs," IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 834–848, Apr. 2018.
- [105] AlexSWong, "AlexSWong/COVID-Net," GitHub, Feb. 2022, https://github.com/AlexSWong/COVID-Net.
- [106] "RSNA Bone Age", www.kaggle.com. https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/kmader/rsna-bone-age.